

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,456	12/14/2005	Hartmut Bohnet	FISCP0101US	3041
43076 MARK D. SA	7590 04/22/200 RALINO (GENERAL)	EXAM	EXAMINER	
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP 1621 EUCLID AVENUE, NINETEENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND, OH 44115-2191			SAETHER, FLEMMING	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,456 BOHNET ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Flemming Saether -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 January 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of	Claims
4)⊠ Claim	n(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of	f the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5)☐ Claim	is/are allowed.
6) Claim	n(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.
7) Claim	n(s) is/are objected to.
8) Claim	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Pa	pers
9)∐ The sp	pecification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The dr	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applic	ant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replac	cement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(o
11)☐ The oa	ath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119
12) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)∏ All	b) Some * c) None of:
1.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2.	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
3.	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the	e attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of Ref	ferences Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/DE)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/560,456

Art Unit: 3677

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the 10 degree inclination as now claimed must be shown or the feature canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/560,456

Art Unit: 3677

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Froehlich (US 4,983,083). Froehlich discloses a fixing device comprising an anchoring bolt (4) having a fixing means (5) and a widening anchoring zone (8); a covering (9) made of silicone-containing plastic ("polysiloxan" column 3, line 11), smaller than the widening anchoring zone and; a curable composition (3). Since the claims are claims are directed to "[a] fixing device" the correspondence with an undercut portion of a drilled hole is an intended use of which Froehlich would be capable and the covering material would provide at least some flexibility. The reference to Froehlich meets the limitation of the anchor bolt being able to incline about 10 degrees for two reasons: first, the limitation is an intended use and with adequate force, the anchoring bolt would be able to be inclined about 10 degrees and second, there is no reference for what the 10 degrees is relative to so the entire structure of Froehlich could be inclined 10 degrees to meet the limitation.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/560,456

Art Unit: 3677

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Froehlich in view of Kellison (US 4,642,964). As discussed above, Froehlich discloses an anchoring device but, does not disclose the device within an undercut hole. Kellison discloses a similar device and further teaches the substitution of straight hole (panel 14) and an undercut hole (panel 114). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute a undercut hole for the straight hole in Froehlich and taught in Kellison because its obvious to substitute one known element for another when the results are predictable. MPEP 2143.

## Response to Remarks

Applicant argues that the claims define over Froehlich because in Froehlich the covering material is for preventing adhesion which does not suggest the degree of resistance of the present invention. In response, the examiner agrees with applicant's understanding of Froehlich but, maintains the reference continues to anticipate the claims for the reasons as set forth above. Generally, the limitations relied on to define over Froehlich are functional limitation so, as a suggestion, applicant should consider claiming the structure which facilitates the function which in this case appears to be combination of the thickness of the cover and its axial length.

Art Unit: 3677

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Flemming Saether whose telephone number is 571-272-7071. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Flemming Saether Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677

/Flemming Saether/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677